

United States Patent and Trademark Office .

UMITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Onited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box. 1450
Alejandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,910 03/22/2004		Paul B. Darcy	MFCP.68792/2-1	2025
45809	7590 07/13/2006	EXAMINER		
	ARDY & BACON L.I.	LIM, KRISNA		
	SOFT CORPORATION) TUAL PROPERTY DEPA	ART UNIT	NIT PAPER NUMBER	
2555 GRAN	D BOULEVARD	2153		
KANSAS C	ITY, MO 64108-2613	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/805,9	10	DARCY ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Krisna Li	···	2153			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
,—	•	· ·					
3)□	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 6-21 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-21</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
•—	The specification is objected to by th						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/805,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

1. Claims 6-21 are presented for examination, and claims 1-5 and 22-36 were canceled.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis et al. [U.S. Patent No. 6,125,064).

<u>Davis et al.</u> disclosed (e.g., see Figs. 1-2) the invention substantially as claimed. Taking claim 6 as an exemplary claim, the reference disclosed a computer network (e.g., see abstract, line 2), comprising:

- a) a receiver node (e.g., see 34 of col. 2, line 6);
- b) at least one sender node (e.g., 32 of Fig. 6 (line 4) coupled with the receiver node over the network (e.g., see Figs. 1 and 2);
- c) the at least one sender node (32) being configured to send <u>reports (packets)</u> to the receiver node at <u>irregular intervals (time gap between receipt of the first data packet and the receipt of the second data packet) (e.g., see col. 6, lines 15-20)), wherein the reports include information regarding the time intervals at which the first sender node will send subsequent report to the receiver node.</u>
- 4. As to claim 7, Davis et al. further disclosed the receiver node is configured to create an <u>expectation window</u> (window size or e.g., packet measuring) for receiving each report (packet) from the at least one sender node.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 2153

5. As to claim 8, Davis et al. further disclosed the expectation window (e.g., see col. 6, lines 28-33) open at a <u>present time</u> prior (e.g., time-out, col. 6, line, line 45) to the corresponding time interval.

- 6. As to claim 9, Davis et al. further disclosed the receiver node (34) is configured to send a query to the least one sender node if one of the report is not received while its expectation window remains open (58 of Fig. 2).
- 6. As to claim 10, Davis et al. further disclosed a second sender node configured to send reports (packets) to the receiver node at <u>irregular intervals</u> (interpacket gaps, col. 5, line 67), wherein the reports include information regarding the time intervals at which the second sender node will send subsequent reports to the receiver node.
- 7. Davis et al do not explicitly mention exactly those terms. For example, Davis et al does not mention the terms of: irregular intervals, an expectation window, a present time and reports as time gap between the receipt of the first data packet and the receipt of the second data packet, a window size or a packet measuring, a time out and packets respectively. It would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that this is matter of call while in fact the functionality of irregular interval was in fact the time gap between two sending packets. And, the expectation window was in fact a window size or a packet measuring for time frame in order to send the packet. And, the reports were in fact the packets as claimed. Etc.
- 8. Claims 11-21 are similar in scope as of claims 6-10, and therefore claims 11-21 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above for claims 6-10.
 - 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references are cited in the Form PTO-892 for the applicant's review.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three)

Application/Control Number: 10/805,910

Art Unit: 2153

months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisna Lim whose telephone number is 571-272-3956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess, can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΚI

July 9, 2006

KRISNA LIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER